(3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (CG)

W.P.NO. OF 2004

BETWEEN

Dr. Puran Singh S/o Shri Shripal Singh, aged about 47 years, occupation Medical Officer, posted at Primary Health Centre Kelhari ,Health Centre Manendragarh District Korea (CG).

PETITIONER

A N D

1. State of Chhattisgarh, through the Secretary Department of Health and Family Welfare Centre, Mantralay, D.K.S.Building, Raipur (CG).

2. Union of India, through the Secretary Personnel Public Grievances and Pension Ministry ,Personnel and Training Department Lok Nayak Bhawan, Khan Market New Delhi .

3. Director , Directorate of Health Services, Chhattisgarh, Raipur (CG).

4. Chief Medical and Health Officer, Korea, District Korea .

5. State of Madhya Pradesh, Through the Secretary Department of Health and Family Welfare, Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal.

RESPONDENTS

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

P.R. No. 3586/04
Presented by Shri Puran Singh
dated

Advocate

Chief Registrar

जपथ आयुक्त

(34)

XI-HC—78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक WP 3636/04 सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	26-10-2004 Shri Awadh Tripathi, Counsel for the petitioner. Shri Akhil Agrawal, Panel Lawyer, for the State. Shri S.K. Beriwal, Sr. Panel Lawyer for the Union of India. Application for urgent hearing is allowed. With the consent of the parties, the matter is heard finally. The petitioner, who is a Doctor, has filed the present petition challenging the action of respondents in not relieving him, though he has been finally allocated to the State of Madhya Pradesh. This fact is not disputed by the other side. This Court, while passing the order dated 17-12-2003 in W.P. No.516/03 (***Dr. Ashok Kumar Jain and others vs. State of Chhattisgarh and others***), has dealt with the matter in great detail. Having thus considered and in view of the decision in the aforesaid case, in the opinion of this Court, it is directed that the order staying the operation of the relieving order is without any authority and cannot be sustained and the petitioner is entitled for relieving. Accordingly, the petition is allowed and the respondents are directed to relieve the petitioner preferably within two weeks from the date of production of copy of this order. Consequently, M.W.P. No.4077/2004 also stands disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. **Sd/-** **Fakhruddin** **Judge**	